

PROBABLE CLASSIFIED INFO

TO PREVENT A NUCLEAR

CATASTROPHE IN IRAN

In 1989, while I was in an NSA SCIF, I got the following information but it was just in general conversation, and I don't know if it is classified.

In 1989 the U.S. military was experimenting with bunker buster bombs near Denver Colorado. The experimental designs did not have explosives in them; they just wanted to see how far they could penetrate granite with a low budget. The winner:

THE WINNER was a large World War 2 artillery gun barrel that was outfitted with a penetrating tip at the small end,

and fins at the large end. The design consideration was that the inside of the barrel itself was the space the explosive charge would go in, so they filled it with inert material with approximately equal weight, they did not want these bombs to go off. They wanted to dig the bombs out after the drop to see how far into granite they went.

They dropped all of the bomb designs from 40,000 feet and when they dug down to the one based on an artillery gun barrel, it penetrated 189 feet. That's approximately 50 meters. 50 meters into solid granite.

So when you look up the specific gravity of 4140 steel, which the artillery barrel was likely to be made of, it has a specific gravity of 7.83. And the hardness is 54-59 HRC. Do you think they made the CLASSIFIED bunker busting bombs with

that? You know, the bombs that other countries are not allowed to buy, and you won't find them listed anywhere? I don't. I think they probably used tungsten. Why not tungsten? Why settle for a specific gravity of a mere 7.83 when you can have a specific gravity of 17 instead? And about the hardness - Why settle for a hardness of 54-59 HRC when it could be 69 - 81 instead with tungsten?

How far would a tungsten based bunker buster penetrate if it used the gun barrel design with ZERO improvements? More than 200 meters when you calculate deceleration rates with the added weight, not even factoring in the added hardness.

And depleted uranium would be even worse. Bottom line: Fordo is TOAST. Kiss it away. Why did NORAD move their main operations out of Cheyenne mountain?

My guess is that the results of the real bunker buster tests showed it to not be viable anymore. CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN IS 610 METERS DEEP.

So what should Iran do right now, with Trump getting all belligerent about an imminent strike on nuclear facilities?

Iran should consider the fact that the (advertised) bunker busters are BULLSHIT and that's not what is going to be used. Iran should consider a place like Cheyenne Mountain to not be enough protection. Iran needs to avoid a nuclear disaster by getting off it's butt RIGHT NOW AND:

1. Shut down Bushehr IMMEDIATELY and take the core out IMMEDIATELY and get it off site or at least to a location where if there is a hit on the facility, Iran does not

**end up with Chernobyl 4X. Lights out.
Better than than a catastrophe.**

2. Kiss the centrifuges goodbye, they are pathetic trash anyway and get the nuclear materials to a location, you know, like YOUR DEEPEST MINE and hide them there IMMEDIATELY so they don't end up spewed all the way to the Lut. Forget procedure, you have a national emergency on your hands, and it is called: NOT DIGGING A HOLE when you had all the time in the world to do things right. Fordo is TOAST. If anyone wondered why NORAD moved their main ops out of Cheyenne Mountain, it's because the real bunker busters would bust that mountain.

THE BOTTOM LINE:

Iran needs to kiss its nuclear projects away, at least for a time. All those

materials need to be put in a mine that has tunnels MILES underground so that if they do get struck, Iran won't be a toxic wasteland.

"U.S. Treasury Secretary warns Iranians: "If I were Iranian, I would convert all my money into something other than the Rial right now." March 7, 2025
@FaytuksNetwork

I don't believe Israel is after Iran's nuclear program. I think Israel is after IDEOLOGICAL SUPERIORITY. So even if Iran hands Israel everything nuclear immediately while whimpering like a simp, Israel is going to attack anyway. A simple attack on nuclear facilities would not cause the US treasury secretary to post that, only a new "shock and awe" that completely destroyed Iran would.

<http://voterig.com>